ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/12/09 Date Received: 08/11/09

Project: Alaskan Copper & Brass, F&BI 908065

Date Analyzed: 08/11/09

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH USING EPA METHOD 9040C

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/12/09 Date Received: 08/11/09

Project: Alaskan Copper & Brass, F&BI 908065

Date Analyzed: 08/11/09

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TURBIDITY USING METHOD SM2130B

Results Reported as NTU

	Date	Time	
Sample ID	<u>Sampled</u>	<u>Sampled</u>	Turbidity
CB330102 908065-01	08/11/09	11:45	4.1
Method Blank			<0.5

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:

CB330102

Date Received:

08/11/09 08/11/09

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:

08/11/09

Matrix: Units:

Water

ug/L (ppb)

Client: Landau Associates

Project: Alaskan Copper & Brass, F&BI 908065

Lab ID: 908065-01

Data File: 908065-01.053

Instrument:

ICPMS1

Operator: btb

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery:

100 111 Lower Limit: 60

60

Upper Limit: 125 125

Concentration

Analyte:

Holmium

ug/L (ppb)

Copper Zinc Lead

20.8 9,310 1.63

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:

Method Blank

Date Received:

NA

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:

08/11/09 08/11/09 Water

Matrix: Units:

ug/L (ppb)

Client:

Landau Associates

Project:

Alaskan Copper & Brass, F&BI 908065

Lab ID. Data File:

I9-332 mb I9-332 mb.046

Instrument:

ICPMS1

Operator:

btb

Internal Standard: Germanium Holmium

% Recovery: 109 110

Lower Limit: 60 60

Upper Limit: 125 125

Concentration

Analyte:

ug/L (ppb)

<1

Copper Zinc Lead

<1 <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/12/09 Date Received: 08/11/09

Project: Alaskan Copper & Brass, F&BI 908065

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH BY METHOD 9040C

Laboratory Code: 908068-02 (Duplicate)

	Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
pH	6.83	6.86	0	0-20

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/12/09 Date Received: 08/11/09

Project: Alaskan Copper & Brass, F&BI 908065

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TURBIDITY USING METHOD SM2130B

Laboratory Code: 908061-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative	
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Turbidity	NTU	1.4	1.4	0	0-20

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/12/09 Date Received: 08/11/09

Project: Alaskan Copper & Brass, F&BI 908065

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 908055-01 (Duplicate)

			Relative			
Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Percent Difference	Acceptance Criteria	
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	7.28	7.65	5	0-20	-
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	16.3	14.8	10	0-20	
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	2.39	2.35	2	0-20	

Laboratory Code: 908055-01 (Matrix Spike)

		Spike	Comple	Percent	A	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	Sample Result	Recovery MS	Acceptance Criteria	
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	7.28	97 Ъ	50-150	
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	16.3	99 b	50-150	
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	2.39	1 01 b	50-150	

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		Percent				
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance		
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria		
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	70-130		
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	126	70-130		
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	104	70-130		

2062835044

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j-The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- il The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- is The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\rm nm$ The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr- The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.
- y The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.



AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED

LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES
3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103
PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:

FB1004-85

PAGE 1

REPORT DATE: DATE SAMPLED: 08/12/09 08/11/09

DATE RECEIVED:

08/11/09

FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETERS ON WATER

SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO. 908065_

CASE NARRATIVE

One water sample was received by the laboratory in good condition. Analysis was performed according to the chain of custody received with the sample. No difficulties were encountered in the preparation or analysis of this sample. Sample data follows while QA/QC data is contained on the following page.

SAMPLE DATA

V.,			
	FOG	HARDNESS	TSS
SAMPLE ID	(mg/l)	(mgCaCO3/1)	(mg/l)
CB330102	4.02	21.9	2.3



AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED

LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES 3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103 PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:

FBI004-85

PAGE 2

REPORT DATE: DATE SAMPLED: 08/12/09

08/11/09

DATE RECEIVED:

08/11/09

FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETERS ON WATER

SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO. 908065

2062835044

QA/QC DATA

QC PARAMETER	FOG	HARDNESS	TSS		
	(mg/l)	(mgCaCO3/l)	(mg/l)		
METHOD	EPA 1664	EPA 130.2	SM20 2540D		
DATE ANALYZED	08/12/09	08/12/09	08/11/09		
DETECTION LIMIT	2.00	2.00	0.50		
DUPLICATE					
SAMPLE ID		CB330102	BATCH		
ORIGINAL		21.9	56		
DUPLICATE		22.9	60		
RPD	ŅĄ	4.37%	6.90%		
SPIKE SAMPLE					
SAMPLE ID		CB330102			
ORIGINAL		21.9			
SPIKED SAMPLE	47.4	41.6	+ '*		
SPIKE ADDED		20.0			
% RECOVERY	NA	98.70%	NA _		
ОС СНЕСК	54 ; · ·				
FOUND	8.50	38.3	9.2		
TRUE	8.06	40.0	10		
% RECOVERY	105.46%	95.77%	92.00%		
BLANK	<2.00	<2.00	<0.50		

RPD – RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE. NA = NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE. NC = NOT CALCULABLE DUB TO ONE OR MORE VALUES BEING BELOW THE DEVECTION LIMIT. OR = RECOVERY NOT CALCULABLE DUB TO SPIKE SAMPLE OUT OF RANGE OR SPIKE TO LOW RELATIVE TOO SAMPLE CONCENTRATION.

SUBMITTED BY:

Steven Lazoff Laboratory Director

Date

Time

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: [bi@isomedia.com

August 12, 2009

Joe Kalmar, Project Manager Landau Associates 130 2nd Ave. S. Edmonds, WA 98020

Dear Mr. Kalmar:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on August 11, 2009 from the Alaskan Copper & Brass, F&BI 908065 project. There are 9 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures

c: Gerry Thompson, Jeff Kray

NAA0812R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on August 11, 2009 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Landau Associates Alaskan Copper & Brass, F&BI 908065 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID

Landau Associates

908065-01

CB330102

The sample was sent to Aquatic Research for hardness, oil and grease, and TSS analyses. Review of the enclosed report indicates that all quality assurance was acceptable.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.